

PLANNING AND LICENSING COMMITTEE

9th May 2018

ADDITIONAL PAGES UPDATE

DISTRIBUTED AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING

**AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE
LOCAL GOVERNMENT (ACCESS TO INFORMATION) ACT 1985**

Additional Representations on Schedule Items

Page 10

ADDITIONAL PAGES ON SCHEDULE ITEMS

Item	Ref. No	Content
03	18/00694/FUL	<p data-bbox="587 501 944 537">One Letter of Support -</p> <ol data-bbox="587 577 1369 1460" style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="587 577 1369 757">1. The proposed "stables" are already built, not of wood, but of a permanent material - that of block walls, slate roof and concrete floors. Therefore, this is a "change of use" application rather than a new build and should be treated as such.<li data-bbox="587 797 1369 945">2. As per point 1, this should not be considered as "setting a precedent". The precedent was set 8 years ago when the original planning permission for such a stable block was granted.<li data-bbox="587 985 1369 1272">3. As the building exists, is sunken, barely visible from the road (apart from the roof) and has associated services close by, I see no great disruption caused by granting this permission. If anything, the appearance will be improved by sympathetic landscaping. Again, in my opinion, a house will always be a more attractive structure than a stable in an area of outstanding natural beauty.<li data-bbox="587 1312 1369 1460">4. The proximity to the church is irrelevant as the building footprint already exists and several other buildings have been granted permission closer to the church. <p data-bbox="587 1500 1369 1572">In summary, I support this application as I see it as an improvement on an existing, permanent structure.</p>